UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,269	03/05/2002	Tadahiro Ohmi	8075-1055	2418	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/12/200 OMPSON	9	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street Suite 500			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/889,269	OHMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALICIA CHEVALIER	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a right ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON or statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matt	• •	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9,13-16 and 18-21</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9,13-16 and 18-21</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the specific sheet is a specific sheet of the spec	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	ì
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 5, 6, 9, 13-16 and 18-21 are pending in the application, claims 1-4, 7, 8, 10-12 and 17 have been cancelled.

2. Amendments to the claims, filed on February 2, 2009, have been entered in the above-identified application.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

3. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claims 5, 6, 9, 14-16 and 18-21 as over Carbo et al. (US Patent No. 4,507,339) in view of Uchida et al. (US Patent No. 4,248,676), made of record in office action mailed October 1, 2008, pages 2-4, paragraph #5 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in the response filed February 2, 2009.

REJECTIONS

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 5, 6, 9, 13-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carbo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,507,339) in view of Uchida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,248,676) and Ohmi (US Patent No. 5,656,099).

Art Unit: 1794

Carbo discloses a structure, article comprising a metallic material with a matte surface (col. 4, lines 64-67) and a chromium-oxide passivation film (chromium/chromium oxide surface treatment) disposed on the metallic material surface (col. 2, lines 20-23).

Carbo fails to disclose that the matte surface of the metallic material has a surface roughness (Ra) not more than 1.5 μ m or that the passivation film has pin holes which are filled..

Uchida discloses a steel plate that is passivated and made corrosion resistant with a chromium layer having pin holes which are filled in/sealed (*figure 5, col. 6, lines 14-29*). The filled pin holes prevent crack formations during general processing (*col. 10, lines 26-30*). The surface roughness of the matte finished initial steel plate is 0.8-3 µm (*col. 10, lines 63-65*). The metallic body surface is deemed to define a continuous boundary between the metallic body and the chromium-oxide deposit.

The exact surface roughness of the metallic material is deemed to be a result effective variable with regard to the adherence of the coating. It would require routine experimentation to determine the optimum value of a result effective variable, such as surface roughness, in the absence of a showing of criticality in the claimed surface roughness. MPEP 2144.05 II B. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Uchida to have a surface roughness of 0.8-3 µm in order to achieve a metallic matte surface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have filed pin holes in the passivation film of Carbo as taught by Uchida in order to prevent crack formations during general processing.

Art Unit: 1794

Carbo further fails to disclose that the chromium-oxide deposit consists, i.e. substantially 100% chromium-oxide, of chromium-oxide, at least approximately 30 nm from an outermost surface of the film.

Ohmi discloses a metallic material provided with a chromium oxide passivation film comprising a passivation film consisting of chromium oxide on the metallic material (*col. 2, lines 33-45*). Ohmi further discloses that the improved corrosion resistant properties have been obtained through the use of passivation films consisting of chromium oxide (*col. 2, lines 24-38*).

'It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a chromium oxide as the passivation film in the combination of Carbo and Uchida as taught by Ohmi because of the improved corrosion resistance gained by layer consisting only of chromium oxide. Since the passivation film consists of chromium oxide at least approximately 30 nm from an outermost surface of the chromium-oxide passivation film will consist of 100% chromium-oxide.

The limitation "formed by heating a chromium film coated directly onto the metallic material surface in an oxidizing atmosphere" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Carbo discloses a chromium-oxide passivation film on the metallic body.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,269 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments in the response filed February 2, 2009 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are most due to the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,269 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alicia Chevalier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 5/12/2009